

The specific changes to the claims are shown on a separate set of pages attached hereto and entitled **VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE**, which follows the signature page of this Amendment. On this set of pages, the insertions are underlined while the ~~deletions are struck through~~.

Claim Rejections

The Examiner rejected Claims 1, 5-7, 10, 12 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Kaestle (U.S. Patent No. 6,122,535). Further, the Examiner rejected Claims 1, 5-6, 10, 12 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Goodman (U.S. Patent No. 4,802,486). By this Amendment, Applicant has cancelled Claims 1, 5-7, 10, 12 and 15 so that these rejections are believed to be moot.

CONCLUSION

Applicant has endeavored to address all of the Examiner's concerns as expressed in the outstanding Office Action. In light of the above remarks, reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections is specifically requested.

If the Examiner finds any remaining impediment to the prompt allowance of these claims that could be clarified with a telephone conference, the Examiner is respectfully requested to initiate the same with the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: April 10, 2002

By: Stephen C. Jensen
Stephen C. Jensen
Registration No. 35,556
Attorney of Record
620 Newport Center Drive
Sixteenth Floor
Newport Beach, CA 92660
(949) 760-0404